PAGE 05/06

U.S. Appl. No. 10/660227 Reply to Office Action of 8/9/2005

612-455-3801

## REMARKS

Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the above amendments and following remarks. Claim 1 has been amended. Claim 1 includes the exact subject matter of original claim 3 (now canceled), which was previously considered allowable. Further, claim 1 is supported, for instance, at page 6, lines 19-23 of Applicant's specification. Applicant respectfully submits that no new issues are raised as the subject matter of claim 1 has been previously presented. Claims 1-2, 4-5 and 11 are pending.

Claims 1, 2, 4-5, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi in view of Kishi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,540,174) newly cited.

Claim 1 includes the same limitations as previously allowable claim 3. Claim 1 recites that the push-pull converter is directly under the steering handle. (Original claim 3 and Page 6, lines 19-23.) The claimed invention provides advantages, such that the length of a pull wire connecting between the push-pull converter and the trim operating lever can be made shorter, and the push wire may be made longer. Such structure results in enhanced operability where the sliding resistance of the inner pull wire can be reduced. The cited references, however, do not disclose or suggest the features of claim 1.

Kobayashi discloses trim wire operating structure for a personal aircraft. Kobayashi shows a push-pull converter located toward a rear of the aircraft and spaced from an axis located under a steering handle. (Figure 2.) Kobayashi, however, does not disclose or suggest that the push-pull converter is directly under the steering handle. Kishi et al. does not provide what is missing from Kobayashi.

Kishi et al. merely provides a motion translating mechanism 72 located toward a front of the aircraft and spaced from an axis located under a steering handle. (Figure 1.) Kishi et al. does not disclose or suggest that the push-pull converter is directly under the steering handle. For at least these reason, Kishi et al. fails to remedy the deficiencies of Kobayashi.

Furthermore, none of the cited references teach or suggest a structure as claim 1 or any advantages enjoyed therefrom. There is no mention in either Kobayashi or Kishi et al. of such a structure or advantageous results. Thus, there is no reasonable suggestion that the cited references would lead to such a structure as claimed or arrive at the

U.S. Appl, No. 10/660227 Reply to Office Action of 8/9/2005 Page 5

advantages provided thereby. Thus, Applicants believe that claim 1 is allowable over the cited references either alone or in combination.

Claims 2, 4-5, and 11 depend upon claim 1. Therefore, these claims are allowable over Kishi et al. and Kobayashi for at least the same reasons with respect to claim.

Favorable reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are respectfully requested.

With the above amendments and remarks, Applicant believes that the pending claims are in a condition for allowance. Allowance of the case in the form of a Notice of Allowance is respectfully solicited. If any questions arise, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's representative at the number listed below.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: October 3, 2005

Curtis B. Hamre Reg. No.: 29,165

Hamre, Schumann, Mueller & Larson, P.C.

225 South Sixth Street

Suite 2650

Minneapolis, MN 55402

612.455.3800 CHamre:lad